

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/675,743   | 09/29/2000    | Jeremy Mark Cohen    | 4733-106 US             | 2840                    |  |
| 759  | 90 04/07/2003 |                      |                         |                         |  |
| Diane Dunn McKay<br>Mathews, Collins, Shepherd & McKay, P.A.<br>100 Thanet Circle, Suite 306 |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
|  |               |                      | JOHNSON, VICKY A        |                         |  |
| Princeton, NJ 08540  |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|  |               |                      | 3682                    |                         |  |
|  | •             |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003 | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|        | V  |
|--------|----|
| $\geq$ | ځŁ |

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/675,743  | COHEN ET AL.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| navious riodon  | Examiner  | Art Unit   | ,   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Vicky A. Johnson  | 3682   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add   | ress  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   | void abandonment of this applic<br>) a timely filed amendment whi   | cation. A proper re<br>ch places the appli   | ply to a<br>cation in   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR RE   | PLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in this after the mailing date of the final rejection. | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed, | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in may reduce any |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 March 2003</u>. Ap<br/>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR</li> </ol>  |   |  | orth in   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further  | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the<br>issues for appeal; and/or   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel   | ing a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair   | ms.   |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ion(s):   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | be allowable if submitted in a s  | eparate, timely file   | d amendment   |  |  |  |  |
| 5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:  |   | sidered but does NO  | OT place the  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed<br/>raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>  | cause it is not directed SOLELY   | to issues which we   | ere newly   |  |  |  |  |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,12-16,19 and 20.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is  | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp  | proved by the Exan   | niner.  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen  | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | ·  | /   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Continuation of 10. Other: There was no proposed drawing correction attached to the amendment filed March 12, 2003...